UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E-FILED - 11/25/08

RICHARD TAKAHAT.	Α,	
		CASE NO. 08-03400 RMW PVT
	Plaintiff(s),	
V. CALIFORNIA RECOV INC., SONIA BANKS,		STIPULATION AND PROCESS ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
_	-	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to	participate in the follow	wing ADR process:
Early ✓ Med (Note: Parties who appreciably more li ADR phone confere ADR Phone Confere	binding Arbitration (All Neutral Evaluation (Eliation (ADR L.R. 6) believe that an early selely to meet their needs ence and may not file the ence. See Civil Local Ru	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Private Pro Priva		y process and provider)
the p	- '	by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
other	requested deadline	
Dated: 11/12/08	-	/s/Ronald Wilcox Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 11/12/08		Wydy D. Viena. Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

PROXXXXX ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

X Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/24/08

Ronald M. Whyte

UNITED STATES XXXXXXXXXIVUDGE

UNITED STATES: XXXXXXXXXXIVUDGE DISTRICT